Evaluation of microhardness, fracture toughness and residual stress in a thermal barrier coating system: A modified Vickers indentation technique


Abstract

The evolution of microhardness, fracture toughness and residual stress of an air plasma-sprayed thermal barrier coating system under thermal cycles was investigated by a modified Vickers indentation instrument coupled with three kinds of indentation models. The results show that fracture toughness on the top coating surface after thermal cycles changes from 0.64 to 3.67 MPa m$^{1/2}$, and the corresponding residual stress near the indented region varies from $-36.8$ to $-243$ MPa. For the interface region of coating and bond coat, fracture toughness in the coating close to interface ranges from 0.11 to 0.81 MPa m$^{1/2}$, and residual stress varies from $-5$ to $-30$ MPa, which are consistent with available data. For the lateral region of coating, fracture toughness and residual stress display strong gradient characteristics along the thickness direction due to the special layered structure.

1. Introduction

Thermal barrier coatings (TBCs) have attracted an ever-increasing attention in aircraft and industrial gas-turbine applications owing to their excellent wear resistance, corrosion protection and thermal insulation. They can prolong the operation life of metal substrate and enhance thermal efficiency of engines [1,2]. Many studies have shown that the evolution of interface adhesion, thermal/residual stresses and mechanical properties of TBCs are crucial to predict their durability and reliability in service [1,3–5]. Thus, three intrinsic parameters of a TBC system, including hardness, fracture toughness and residual stress, are to be tested urgently by using a simplified and low-cost method. Recently, a lot of methods such as barb pullout, bending, scratch, X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy and indentation tests have been developed. Compared to these tests, the indentation method can measure microhardness, residual stress and fracture toughness of bulk brittle materials [6–10], which is also used to test a few of brittle film/ductile substrate systems [11–14]. However, in the case of a multiple-layer TBC system, if residual stress and fracture toughness are expected to be synchronously studied by indentation fracture models proposed by Lawn et al. [15], traditional indentation instruments may not be directly applied due to the lack of enough suitable indentation loads. As the best of our knowledge, there is still no reported work in which residual stress and fracture toughness of a TBC system can be simultaneously tested by a Vickers indentation method.

In this paper, we attempt to modify a Vickers indentation instrument by re-designing the value and interval of loads, and then use it to study the evolution of microhardness, residual stresses and fracture toughness of an air plasma-sprayed (APS) TBC system subjected to thermal cycling, including the top surface, lateral and interface regions of the coating. Further, the effects of thermal cycling, coating thickness and indentation locations on mechanical properties of a TBC system have been discussed. The experimental results are in agreement with available data.

2. Theoretical models

2.1. Indentation model

As indentation load increases, a brittle material gradually experiences elastic and little plastic deformation, and then fracture. Once the stress intensity factor $K_I$ in an indented material approaches its fracture toughness $K_{IC}$, a pair of radial cracks usually start to nucleate near the corner angles of residual Vickers indentation and finally present a semiellipse shape along the indentation direction [6,7,10], as
shown in Fig. 1. Here, $K_C$ can be evaluated, in the case of no residual stress [6], as

$$K_C = \delta \left( \frac{E}{H} \right)^{1/2} \frac{P}{c^{1/2}} \left( \frac{c}{\pi d} \right)$$

(1)

where $\delta$ is a geometric factor and for a Vickers indenter, $\delta = 0.016$ [6], $c = (c_1 + c_2)/2$ is the average length of two radial cracks with lengths of $c_1$ and $c_2$ (see Fig. 1(a)), $E$ and $H$ are Young's modulus and hardness of the indented material, respectively. In Vickers indentation tests, hardness is usually calculated by $1.8544P/d^2$, where $P$ is the peak indentation load and $d$ is equal to $(d_1 + d_2)/2$ with $d_1$ and $d_2$ defined as the two diagonal lengths of residual Vickers indentation, as shown in Fig. 1(a).

Residual stresses with gradient distribution characteristic usually exit in the indented region for a coating/film system due to the mismatch of material properties and temperature variation, which may strongly affect the accuracy of indentation measurements. For the sake of simplification, the distribution of residual stresses is divided into small strips along the indentation direction and they are approximated regarded as uniform in each small strip. The effect of total residual stress on stress intensity factor can be evaluated in the indentation depth by an integral method. An analytical solution proposed by Lawn et al. is applied to describe the influence of residual stress in a strip on stress intensity factor, which can be written as [16],

$$K_r = \psi \sigma_s c^{1/2} \left[ \frac{2(b/c + t/c)}{2} - \frac{2(b/c)^{1/2} - 2}{t/c} \right]$$

(2)

where $\sigma_s$ is the distributed uniform average tensile residual stress at a small strip along the indentation depth direction, $\psi = 2/\sqrt{\pi}$ is a crack geometry term [17], $b$ is the distance from the strip to the indented surface, and $t$ is the depth of the distribution region of $\sigma_s$. When the stress layer locates on surface (i.e., $b = 0$), Eq. (2) reduces to

$$K_r = \psi \sigma_s \sqrt{t} \left( 2 - \sqrt{t/c} \right)$$

(3)

If the strip extends to the thorough indentation crack region (i.e., $b = 0$, $t = c$), Eq. (3) reduces to

$$K_r = \psi \sigma_s c^{1/2}$$

(4)

which is similar to the result for a uniform stress field. According to the different distribution features of residual stresses in the indented material, we should select a suitable equation from Eq. (3) or (4) to analyze the effect of residual stress on $K_r$.

For residual stress in a TBC system, it is generally simplified as in-plane equi-biaxial stress and out-plane gradient stress along the thickness direction [18]. Therefore, in the case of indentation perpendicular to the coating surface (see Fig. 1(a)), residual stress is assumed to be uniformly distributed in a narrow strip just below the indented surface. The corresponding stress intensity factor $K_r$ induced by residual stress at the crack front can be estimated by Eq. (3). Therefore, the total stress intensity factor is the superimposition of $K_r$ and $K_p$, which can be written as [16]

$$K_{IC} = K_r + K_p = \chi \left( \frac{P}{c^{1/2}} \right)^{1/2} \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sigma_c t^{1/2} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sigma_c t^{1/2}$$

(5)

where $\chi = \delta (E/H)^{1/2}$. Then, the ratio $P/c^{1/2}$ can be obtained as

$$\frac{P}{c^{1/2}} = \left( \frac{K_{IC} - 4\sigma_c t^{1/2}}{\chi} \right) + \left( \frac{2\sigma_c t^{1/2}}{\chi} \right)$$

(6)

which is regarded as a function of $c^{1/2}$. Here, $2\sigma_c \chi / \chi \sqrt{\pi}$ and $(K_{IC} - 4\sigma_c t^{1/2} / \sqrt{\pi}) / \chi$ denote the slope and intercept of Eq. (6), respectively. To obtain a better linear equation, a series of Vickers indentation tests must be performed under different peak indent loads. The corresponding radial crack lengths are measured by the Vickers instrument with the function of an in-situ microscope observation system, i.e., $P/c^{1/2}$ versus $c^{1/2}$ ($i = 1, 2, ..., n$). Then, all experimental data are fitted into a linear equation. $K_{IC}$ and $\sigma_c$ at the indented point can be determined by the magnitude of intercept and slope of the equation, respectively. It is found that the indentation fracture of APS 8.0 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$-partially-stabilized ZrO$_2$ (8YSZ) coating on the surface regions usually occurs under the load of 78.4 N or more. Otherwise, indentation cracking does not occur because load is too small. On the other hand, if the indentation load is too large, the ceramic coating would be directly broken down and spilled. Therefore, in the case of a TBC system, in order to perform a reasonable range of indentation loads with a small interval, we have re-designed a commercial indentation instrument and adjusted its range and interval of indentation loads.

2.2. Interfacial indentation model

As shown in Fig. 1(b), when a Vickers indenter is penetrated into the interface of two different materials, fracture toughness for the coating/bond coat interface is usually evaluated as [19]

$$K_{IC} = \delta \left( \frac{E}{H} \right)^{1/2} \frac{P}{c^{1/2}}$$

(7)

where $\delta$ is a geometric factor and $\delta = 0.015$ for a Vickers indenter, and $(E/H)^{1/2}$ is a ratio of reduced Young’s modulus and hardness of two indented materials. If the occupied areas of the coating and bond coat in residual impression are equal, $(E/H)^{1/2}$ is defined as [19]

$$\left( \frac{E}{H} \right)^{1/2} = \frac{(E/H)^{1/2}}{1 + (H_c/H_s)^{1/2}} + \frac{(E/H)^{1/2}}{1 + (H_c/H_s)^{1/2}}$$

(8)

where subscripts s and c are bond coat and coating, respectively. However, when the occupied area ratio is not equal in indentation.

---

**Fig. 1.** Schematics of three kinds of Vickers indentsations on the top surface (a), near the interface region (b), and on the lateral region (c).
tests, Eq. (8) can not precisely describe the effects of two different materials on indentation resistance. Therefore, it is necessary to consider the indenter trace near the interface with a ratio of lengths $z_1$ and $z_2$ (see Fig. 1(b)). The diagonal lengths of residual indentation for coating and bond coat are defined as

$$d_1 = \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2} d_1 \quad \text{and} \quad d_2 = \frac{z_2}{z_1 + z_2} d_1$$

where $d_1$ is defined as the total length of an indent diagonal whose direction is vertical to the indented interface. Considering the influence of plastic deformation [20,21], $(E/H)_p^{1/2}$ can be approximately written as [21]

$$\left(\frac{E}{H}\right)_p^{1/2} = \frac{z_1}{(z_1 + z_2)^2} (E/H)_t^{1/2} + \frac{z_2}{(z_1 + z_2)^2} (E/H)_c^{1/2}. \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

On the other hand, according to the in-plane equi-biaxial stress assumption, the distribution of residual stresses for lateral indentation (see Fig. 1(b) and (c)) can be regarded as uniform along the indentation orientation. In this case, $K_r$ induced by residual stress can be approximately evaluated by Eq. (4). Therefore, the total fracture toughness for lateral indentation can be expressed as a sum of $K_p$ and $K_r$ [22], that is

$$K_F = K_p + K_r = \delta \left(\frac{E}{H}\right)_p^{1/2} \frac{P}{c^{3/2}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \alpha_i c^{1/2}. \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

Similar to Eq. (6), we have

$$\frac{P}{c^{3/2}} = \frac{K_F}{\alpha_i} + \left( -2 \frac{\sigma_i}{\sqrt{\pi} X} \right) c^{1/2}. \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

where $\chi_i = \delta (E/H)_t^{1/2}$, and $P/c^{3/2}$ is regarded as a linear function of $c^{1/2}$, $-2 \sigma_i / \sqrt{\pi} X$, and $K_F/X_i$ denote the slope and intercept of this linear equation, respectively. Here, $\alpha_i$ is tensile if the slope is negative, and otherwise, $\alpha_i$ is compressive. It is worth noting that, when $z_2 = 0$ in Eq. (9), it means that the Vickers indenter only indents the cross-sectional region of the coating, as shown in Fig. 1(c). In this case, $\chi_i$ in Eq. (12) is equal to $\delta (E/H)_t^{1/2}$. Similarly, all experimental data, $P/c^{3/2}$ versus $c^{1/2}$ ($i = 1, 2, ..., n$), can be obtained by Vickers indentation tests under different loads. Then, these data are fitted into a linear equation. Similarity, $K_F$ and $\sigma_i$ within the lateral or interfacial region of the coating can be estimated by the values of intercept and slope, respectively.

3. Experimental

3.1. Specimen

In our experiments of TBCs, substrate is nickel-base super alloy (GH3030) and its dimension is $20 \times 5 \times 2.4 \text{ mm}^3$. A NiCrAlY powder with grain size of 20–50 $\mu$m was sprayed to the substrate surface as bond coat with the thickness of about 150 $\mu$m by using the low pressure plasma-sprayed technique. The top coating was deposited by the air plasma-sprayed technique with YSZ powder that has the same grain size of 20–50 $\mu$m, and the coating thickness is in a range of 400–600 $\mu$m. The substrate temperature was held at 473 K during the preparation process [23].

To simulate the effect of high temperature environment on material properties and microstructure of TBCs, most of TBC specimens were performed by heat treatments. They were heated about 10 min up to a desired temperature of 1273 K and then held for 60 min, followed for a 10 min of forced-air-quenching. After being polished with 2.5 $\mu$m diamond sandpapers, the specimens were cleaned by hydrochloric acid and distilled water to reduce the influence of surface work hardening. All specimens were carefully cleaned by ultrasonic oscillator and completely dried by a drying machine, prior to the microstructure observations, nanoindentation and Vickers indentation tests. The total 120 specimens were tested by using the modified Vickers indentation device.

3.2. Young’s module

The variations of Young’s modulus $E$ of TBC samples under thermal cycling were measured by the aid of nanoindentation with an indenter (Triboscope, Hysitron Inc.) equipped with a three-sided pyramidal diamond tip. The tip radius is about 200 nm and the peak load is 3 N. The loading and unloading times are 100 s and the holding time is 20 s. To obtain reliable data, each cycle is repeated 20 times. The thermal drift is kept below $\pm 0.05$ nm/s for all indentations. For YSZ coating with many pores and microcracks, the Weibull analysis was introduced to describe the scatter of mechanical properties [24–26]. The detailed analysis has been reported in our recent works [27,28].

3.3. Vickers indentation

We have re-designed the peak loads and intervals of a commercial Vickers indentation instrument (HVS-30). A series of indentation loads ranging from 9.8 to 294 N with a fitted interval of 19.6 N was selected to induce the formation of different radial cracks at room temperature. In each Vickers indentation test, the dwelling time is 20 s and unloading time is 15 s. All images of residual impressions were observed and the lengths of Vickers indentation cracks were measured by the apparatus with in-situ microscopy and charge coupled device camera.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Young’s modulus and microhardness

As shown in Fig. 2, Young’s modulus $E$ on the top coating surface gradually increases from about 50 GPa (as-received specimens) to 90 GPa within 150 thermal cycles, and then decreases to 59 GPa after 300 thermal cycles. In the lateral region of the coating, $E$ exhibits a similar change and is slightly larger than that on the coating surface. It varies from the initial value of 67 to 120 GPa within 150 thermal cycles. As thermal cycle adds up to 300, the value of Young’s modulus is 79 GPa. The major reason for the evolution of Young’s modulus of the coating may attribute to the gradient variation of sintering-induced micro-porosity under heat treatments, which displays the anisotropic characteristic of the coating with laminated structures.
Young’s modulus in the bond coat also changes with thermal cycling. It increases from 79 GPa at the as-received stage to 149 GPa at the 100th thermal cycle, and then retains as a constant. The initially rapid change may be due to high-temperature oxidation. Zhu et al. found that the effective Young’s modulus of TBCs varies within a range of 60 to 125 GPa under different heat treatments [29,30]. The values of Young’s modulus of bond coat in our tests were similar to the results obtained by Haynes [31].

As seen in Fig. 3, the evolution of micro-hardness $H$ in the coating by Vickers indentations has a similar trend. $H$ on the coating surface increases from 2.76 to 5.84 GPa within about 180 thermal cycles, and then reaches 4.4 GPa. In the lateral region, $H$ changes from 3.87 to 6.14 GPa when thermal cycling is within about 150. $H$ gradually decreases to 4.71 GPa during subsequent cycles, which are also consistent with available data [29,32]. Moreover, it is shown that the variation of hardness in the bond coat increases from the as-received 3 to 4 GPa with the increase of thermal cycles due to the interface oxidation under high temperatures, which are well consistent with available data [31].

4.2. Effect of thermal cycling

Heat treatments have an important influence on material properties and stress fields of a TBC system during thermal cycles. When indented on the coating surface (see Fig. 1(a)), data of $P/c_i^{3/2}$ versus $c_i^{-1/2}$ were fitted with the form of Eq. (6) under different thermal cycles (see Fig. 4). It is obvious that the slope of each fitted line is negative. As the thermal cycle $N$ increases from 0 to 180, their corresponding slopes gradually increase from $-0.26 \times 10^6$ to $-1.47 \times 10^6$ N/m and intercepts change from $35.56 \times 10^6$ to $196.45 \times 10^6$ N/m$^{3/2}$. However, after 180 thermal cycles, the slope reduces to the initial state. A representative scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observation of residual impression morphology is shown in Fig. 5, in which there are several radial cracks along the indent diagonal direction and a few of pores.

In interfacial Vickers indentation tests (see Fig. 1(b)), all data were fitted by a linear function of $P/c_i^{3/2}$ and $c_i^{1/2}$ in Eq. (12), as shown in Fig. 6. Within 150 thermal cycles, the slope of each line increases from $79 \times 10^6$ to $403 \times 10^6$ N/m$^{2}$, and then becomes $138 \times 10^6$ N/m$^{2}$ at $N=300$. The corresponding intercept varies from $2.62 \times 10^6$ to $10^6$ N/m$^{3/2}$ and decreases to $1.36 \times 10^6$ N/m$^{3/2}$ at $N=300$. Fig. 7 shows a typical SEM image of residual Vickers indention at the coating and bond coat interface. The residual impression is enlarged separately, as shown in the inset of Fig. 7, and there are two radial indentation cracks in the coating close to interface.

To study the gradient behavior of material properties along thickness, the lateral region of coating is only indented (see Fig. 1(c)), where $L$ is defined as a distance from the center of residual impression to the upper surface of coating. Fig. 8 shows the variations of slope and intercept of each fitted line. The analysis indicates that
As discussed above, $K_C$ and $\sigma_f$, at different indented locations of TBC samples can be evaluated with the values of slope and intercept of each fitted line by Eq. (6) or (12). When indented on the coating surface (see Fig. 1(a)), $K_C$ gradually increases from the initial value of $0.64$ to $3.67\ \text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ before 180 thermal cycles, as shown in Fig. 10, which is consistent with the results of $1.15\pm0.07\ \text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ obtained by asymmetrical four-point bending tests [33,34]. After 180 thermal cycles, $K_C$ slowly decreases to $0.91\ \text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$. It is of interest to see that the relationship of $K_C$ and thermal cycle presents the parabola evolution as discussed above, $K_C = 0.82 + 0.04N - 1.34 \times 10^{-4}N^2$. The corresponding $\sigma_f$ in Fig. 11 changes from the deposition stress of $-36.8\ \text{MPa}$ to a maximum of $-243\ \text{MPa}$ within 180 thermal cycles. And then it gradually reduces to $-30\ \text{MPa}$ at the 300th thermal cycle. $\sigma_f$ displays a little relaxation because new microcracks and pores form as thermal cycle increases (see Fig. 5). The analysis shows that the evolution of $\sigma_f$ near the coating surface with thermal cycles follows the relationship of $\sigma_f = -29.44 - 2.34N + 0.8 \times 10^{-2}N^2$. The current results before 180 thermal cycles are similar to that obtained by X-ray diffraction[35] and Raman spectroscopy methods [27], and theoretical results by Mao et al. [18], as shown in Fig. 11. Some difference may be ascribed to thermal treatment conditions, coating thickness and material components.

In the case of interface indentation (see Fig. 1(b)), $K_C$ in the coating close to the interface varies from the initial value of $0.19$ to $0.81\ \text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ before 100 thermal cycles, as shown in Fig. 10. Then $K_C$ gradually declines to $0.11\ \text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ within the subsequent thermal cycles. Here we use a fitted parabola equation to describe the variation of $K_C$ with thermal cycling, i.e., $K_C = 0.283 + 0.004N - 1.662 \times 10^{-5}N^2$. For as-received APS TBC systems, $K_C$ at the coating and bond coat interface was reported as $2.22\ \text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ by a mixed-mode test [36], and $0.7\ \text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ by a modified four-point bending test [37]. $K_C$ near the interface region is $1.1\ \text{MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ after thermal treatment of $20\ \text{h}$ [38]. Our experimental results by Vickers indentations are in agreement with those available results. Moreover, it is clear that $K_C$ on the top coating surface is slightly larger than that around the coating/bond coat interface, which agrees well with the fact that the initial fracture in TBCs usually happens at interface [39]. Fig. 11 shows that the evolution of $\sigma_f$ varies from the original value of $-5\ \text{MPa}$ to a maximum of $-30\ \text{MPa}$ within $204\ \text{thermal cycles}$. Then it gradually decreases to $-9.6\ \text{MPa}$ at the 300th thermal cycle due to the formation of micro-cracks. An empirical parabola equation...
When indenter only acts on the lateral region of coating (see Fig. 1(c)), the evolution of $K_C$ and $\sigma_r$ exhibits strong gradient characteristics. The effects of different locations $L$ and thermal cycles $N$ on $K_C$ are shown in Fig. 12. The values of $K_C$ close to the coating surface in an as-received sample ($N=0$) are smaller than that near the interface. With the increase of thermal cycles, they firstly increase with different extents, and then gradually reduce to 0.3 MPa m$^{1/2}$ at $N=300$. It is worth noting that, from Figs. (10) and (12), the values of $K_C$ on lateral and interface regions in an APS TBC system are much smaller than that on the upper surface of coating under the same thermal cycling. The results may explain why the coating is so easy to delaminate and spall near the interface region in service. The improved Vickers indentation method as “fingerprints” can be applied to evaluate the “weakest” location of the coating, compared with other methods that usually analyze the mechanical properties of the coating as a whole. It is seen that $K_C$ in the coating presents strong anisotropic features due to the specially laminated structure by the APS technique and the sintering effect in high temperatures. Similarly, the influences of $L$ and $N$ on $\sigma_r$ are shown in Fig. 13. The distributions of $\sigma_r$ vary with different amplitudes along the thickness direction during thermal cycles. Under the same heat treatment condition, $\sigma_r$ increases with the increase of $L$, as-received sample ($N=0$) are smaller than that near the interface.

With the increase of thermal cycles, $K_C$ exhibits strong gradient characteristics and the sintering effect in high temperatures. Similarly, the influences of $L$ and $N$ on $\sigma_r$ are shown in Fig. 13. The distributions of $\sigma_r$ vary with different amplitudes along the thickness direction during thermal cycles. Under the same heat treatment condition, $\sigma_r$ increases with the increase of $L$, which consists qualitatively the results by neutron diffraction [42] and high-energy X-rays [40] methods.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, several major mechanical properties of an APS TBC system, including micro-hardness, fracture toughness and residual stress during thermal cycles, have been measured by a modified Vickers indentation device. The main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

1. High-temperature sintering has an important influence on the evolution of Young’s modulus, micro-hardness, fracture toughness and residual stress in TBCs. Young’s modulus in coating varies from 50 to 120 GPa with increasing thermal cycling and then gradually decreases to 59 GPa, and Young’s modulus in the bond coat changes from 79 to 149 GPa. The values of Vickers hardness in coating increase from 2.76 to 6.14 GPa and decline to 4.4 GPa with increasing thermal cycling. In the bond coat, it increases from 3 to 4 GPa.

2. Residual stresses play an important role in the determination of fracture toughness by the indentation method. On the top coating surface, fracture toughness changes in the range of 0.64 to 3.67 MPa m$^{1/2}$. The corresponding residual stress varies from $-36.8$ to $-243$ MPa. In the interfacial region of the top coating, fracture toughness changes in the range of $0.11$ to $0.81$ MPa m$^{1/2}$. The corresponding residual stress varies from $-5$ to $-30$ MPa.

3. The distributions of fracture toughness and residual stress along the coating thickness display strong gradient and anisotropic characteristics due to the typical laminated structure, which have been revealed by the modified Vickers apparatus.
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